

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,665	10/17/2003	Luis Oritz Cerda	LOPEZ-2C1	8278	
23599	7590 05/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
,	WHITE, ZELANO & I ENDON BLVD.	PETERSON, KENNETH E			
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22201		3724		
			D	DATEMAN DE COMOCA	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments		10/686,665	CERDA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kenneth E Peterson	3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress			
THE - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failur Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sereply received by the Office later than three months after the reply detectived by the Office later than three months after the reply detectived by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the reply received by the Office later than three months after the received by the Office later than three la	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Aē	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
·		This action is non-final.					
3)□							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-18</u> are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirem	ent.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National	Stage			
* c	application from the International Bu		rossiusd				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	i list of the certified copies not	receivea.				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theoryious	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) ☐ Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-6,17 and 18, drawn to a nut cutter having a sealed push piston, a sharp angle finish cutting edge and an aligned fixed cutter, classified in class 83, subclass 639.1.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to a nut cutter having fixed guides, classified in class 83, subclass 821.
- III. Claim 9, drawn to a nut cutter having a remote control system, classified in class 83, subclass 523.
- IV. Claims 10 and 11, drawn to a nut cutter having inlet and outlet ports, classified in class 83, subclass 639.7.
- V. Claim 12-16, drawn to a nut cutter using carbide steels, and thermally treated materials, classified in class 83, subclass 701.
- 2. Claim 1 will examined with any of the elected groups. Claim 1 links the inventions of groups I-V. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional

Application/Control Number: 10/686,665

Art Unit: 3724

statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Page 3

- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because the inventions of groups I-V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. For example, the nut cutter having a push piston with a watertight joint of group I could be used with a nut breaker having no remote control, unlike the device of group III, and conversely, the nut cutter having a remote control as in group III could be employed with a push piston having no seal, unlike that of group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,665

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 703-308-

2186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7am

and 4pm.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-

9306. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. Any inquiry of a general nature or

relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose

telephone number is 703-308-1148.

kp

May 4, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER